
WETLANDS METHODOLOGY

A Methodology for Defining and Assessing
Wetlands in the Raritan River Basin

**Upper Raritan Watershed Association, for the
Raritan Basin Watershed Management Project**

May 2000

**METHODOLOGY FOR DEFINING AND ASSESSING WETLANDS
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ii

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS..... ii

1.0 CHANGE DETECTION 1

2.0 MODIFIED WETLANDS 2

3.0 EXISTENCE OF CONTAMINATED SITES IN WETLANDS..... 3

4.0 LIST OF REFERENCES 3

5.0 POTENTIAL WETLAND RESTORATION SOURCES..... 3

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

An important component of the Raritan Basin project is the analyses of wetlands, an essential indicator of basin health. Information derived from these analyses will aid in the identification and resolution of problems associated with changes in land and water use and to prevent and minimize the impact of development on fish and wildlife and their supporting ecosystems.

Wetlands analyses will focus on change detection and modified wetland categories on watershed, Watershed Management Area and basin levels. Change detection will be analyzed for the alteration of wetlands and degree of wetland loss between 1986 and 1995/97. Modified wetlands will be analyzed by category, change and for their potential use in wetland restoration. The existence of contaminated sites in wetlands and within transition areas will also be analyzed regarding potential harm to wetlands and dependent habitats.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Author

Doug Schleifer, Geographic Information System Director, Upper Raritan Watershed Association

An extended “thank you” to the Raritan Basin Watershed Management Project Characterization Committee and Project Team for all of their comments, hard work and suggestions. Project Team members include:

- New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection
- New Jersey Water Supply Authority
- North Jersey Resource Conservation and Development Council
- Rutgers Center for Environmental Communication
- South Branch Watershed Association
- Stony Brook Millstone Watershed Association
- United States Department of Agriculture – Natural Resources Conservation Service
- United States Geological Survey
- Upper Raritan Watershed Association

1.0 CHANGE DETECTION

Wetlands change naturally or are altered by human intervention over time. The purpose of this analysis is to document the changes of wetland types and alterations of wetlands to other land use/landcover types between 1986 and 1995/97. It is important to know how and where change affects water/wetland and dependent habitats because of their impact on natural resources, such as water quality and wildlife populations, and natural hazards, such as flooding.

Change detection of wetlands will be analyzed using NJDEP land use/landcover GIS data created by interpretation of 1986 and 1995/97 aerial photography. These data use the Anderson Classification, a land use/landcover classification scheme that is easily understood by the non-expert. Open waters are categorized by type of water body, wetlands by vegetative type and modified wetlands by their modification.

The Cowardin Classification, a relatively complex water/wetlands classification scheme, used for 1986 NJDEP wetlands data, is not being updated for 1995/97 due to budget constraints at NJDEP, and therefore, cannot be used for analysis. The Cowardin Classification provides better information to planners with a biological focus regarding preservation, use management and restoration of wetlands.

It should be recognized that losses and changes below the one acre mapping threshold, or regarding wetlands under heavy tree cover or affected by changes in quality will not necessarily be detected. Changes will be quantified using the most current sub-watershed boundaries data (HUC14 data – a fourteen digit Hydrologic Unit Code) developed by the New Jersey Geological Survey by watershed and aggregated to the Watershed Management Area (WMA) and basin levels.

Water/Wetland types as described by the Anderson Classification are as follows:

- Agricultural wetlands (modified)
- Artificial lakes
- Bays, estuaries and other tidal waters
- Coniferous scrub/shrub wetlands
- Coniferous wooded wetlands
- Deciduous scrub/shrub wetlands
- Deciduous wooded wetlands
- Disturbed wetlands (modified)
- Former agricultural wetland-becoming shrubby, not built-up (developed)
- Freshwater tidal marshes
- Herbaceous wetlands
- Managed wetland in built-up maintained recreation area (modified)
- Managed wetland in maintained lawn greenspace (modified)
- Mixed brush and bog wetlands, coniferous dominate
- Mixed forested wetlands, coniferous dominate
- Mixed forested wetlands, deciduous dominate

- Mixed scrub/shrub wetlands, coniferous dominate
- Mixed scrub/shrub wetlands, deciduous dominate
- Natural lakes
- Saline marshes
- Streams and canals
- Wetland rights-of-way (modified)

The contractor hired by NJDEP to create the 1995/97 land use/landcover data found numerous classification mistakes in the 1986 data while editing them for the 1995/97 update. Corrections of the 1986 data were included in the 1995/97 data. It should also be noted that the data, as of April 2000, are in draft version. Possible changes in the data, according to NJDEP, concern edgematching, the matching of boundaries between GIS coverages. According to NJDEP, if these changes are needed they will be insignificant.

GIS coverages of land use/landcover data were created by NJDEP for each WMA. To create a seamless coverage for the Raritan Basin, GIS coverages for the three WMAs that make up the basin need to be appended. It is important in this process that the common boundaries between coverages are edgematched correctly so there are no data gaps or overlaps in the appended coverage.

2.0 MODIFIED WETLANDS

Modified wetlands, wetland areas changed by human intervention, will also be analyzed using NJDEP land use/landcover data. These areas will primarily be analyzed for potential wetland restoration. Research of wetland restoration techniques will be needed to identify the circumstances in which they can be used and how the data can be used to inform the techniques. A beginning bibliography is attached. This bibliographic search was done to find information relating to the selection of areas for restoration and was confined to the last three years of publication.

Modified wetland types described by the Anderson Classification are as follows:

- Agricultural wetlands
- Disturbed wetlands
- Former agricultural wetland-becoming shrubby, not built-up (developed)
- Managed wetland in built-up maintained recreation area
- Managed wetland in maintained lawn greenspace
- Wetland rights-of-way

Modified wetlands will be quantified by watershed and aggregated to the WMA and basin levels.

3.0 EXISTENCE OF CONTAMINATED SITES IN WETLANDS

The most current contaminated sites data will be analyzed for their location in wetlands and transition areas. Sources of contaminated sites data will come from NJDEP and county and municipal agencies if available in appropriate GIS format.

4.0 LIST OF REFERENCES

Cowardin, Lewis M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. Washington, D.C.: United States Department of the Interior – US Fish and Wildlife Service, 1979

5.0 POTENTIAL WETLAND RESTORATION SOURCES

(Searched for how to identify areas with restoration potential, 1996-1999)

Andree, Holly, Huang Jing, Macleod Robb D, Spell Ruth, Reid Frederic A, and Wadsworth K G Ed. 1998. Development Of An Interactive GIS Model For Wetlands Protection And Restoration: Breaking The Barriers To Consensus Planning. *Transactions of the North American Wildlife and Natural Resources Conference*: 135-46. ISSN: 0078-1355.

Arcieri, W. R. 1996. The Use Of Hydrologic Budget Techniques In Assessing Site Suitability For Wetlands Creation. *American Institute Of Hydrology, Annual Meeting: Hydrology And Hydrogeology Of Urban And Urbanizing Areas*. April 1996. Boston, MA. WF 133-38. American Institute of Hydrology.

Bishel-Machung, L., R. P. Brooks, S. S. Yates, and K. L. Hoover. 1996. Soil properties of reference wetlands and wetland creation projects in Pennsylvania. *Wetlands* 16, 4: p. 532-41. ISSN: 0277-5212.

Clemmer, P.; Gorges, M.; Meyer, G.; Prichard, D., and Shumac, K. Riparian Area Management: Using Aerial Photographs to Assess Proper Functioning Condition of Riparian-Wetland Areas. Final rept. United States; 1996; BLM/RS/ST-96/007-1737; TR-1737-12-1996.

Denbow, T. J., D. Klements, D. W. Rothman, E. W. Garbisch, C. C. Bartoldus, M. L. Kraus, D. R. MacLean, and G. A. Thunhorst. 1996. GUIDELINES FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF WETLAND REPLACEMENT AREAS. NCHRP Report. 1996. (379) pp445

Ehrenfeld, J. G., and L. A. Toth. 1997. Restoration Ecology And The Ecosystem Perspective. *Restoration Ecology* 5, Dec (N4): 307-17. ISSN: 1061-2971.

Foote-Smith, C. 1996. Watershed Wetlands Restoration Planning And Wetlands Mitigation Banking In Massachusetts. *American Institute Of Hydrology, Annual Meeting: Hydrology And Hydrogeology Of Urban And Urbanizing Areas*. April 1996. Boston, MA. WM 1-9. American Institute of Hydrology

Galatowitsch, S. M., A. G. Van der Valk, and R. A. Budelsky. 1998. Decision-Making For Prairie Wetland Restorations. *Great Plains Research* 8, 1: 137-55.

Good, J. W. College Oceanic Atmospheric Sci., Oregon State Univ., 104 Ocean Adm. Building, Corvallis, OR 97331-5503, USA. 1997. Beyond watersheds: incorporating regional considerations into wetland restoration planning. *163rd National Meeting Of The American Association For The Advancement Of Science: Engaging Science, Sustaining Society . AAAS Annual Meeting And Science Innovation Exposition*, February 13-18, 1997. Seattle, Washington, USA. v. 163. p. A39.

Grayson, J. E. University of Sydney NSW Australia, M. G. Chapman, and A. J. Underwood. Jan 25, 1999. The Assessment Of Restoration Of Habitat In Urban Wetlands. *Landsc Urban Plan* 43, 4: p227(10). ISSN: 00562745.

Harper, R. M., and E. Lider. U.S. Forest Service, Missoula, MT. Jun 1998. Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration At The Watershed Scale. *Land And Water* 42, 3: p. 27-30. ISSN: 0192-9453.

Harris, R., and C. Olson. 1997. Two-Stage System For Prioritizing Riparian Restoration At The Stream Reach And Community Scales. *Restoration Ecology* 5, Supplement 4: 34-42. ISSN: 1061-2971. Special issue: Riparian Restoration.

Harris, Richard, and Craig University of California Berkeley Olson. Dec 97. Two-Stage System for Prioritizing Riparian Restoration at the Stream Reach and Community Scales. *Restoration Ecology* 5, 4S: 34(9). Full text available from Congressional Information Service at 1-800-227-2477.

Hashisaki, S. 1996. Functional wetland restoration: an ecosystem approach. *Northwest Science* 70, 4: 348-51. ISSN: 0029-344X Jan 99. *Stream Corridor Restoration: Principles, Processes, And Practices (On CD-ROM)*. 1 CD-ROM. Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington, D.C. Data File. Contains search and retrieval software. Adobe Acrobat Reader 3.01 software is provided to view and search data. Runs on Windows 3.1, Windows 95, Windows NT, and Macintosh systems. Requires 7.6 MB hard disk space. See also PB98-114358, PB95-181269, PB97-502843, PB96-163480, PB95-176368. Y2K - compliant. Order from NTIS by: phone at 1-800-553-NTIS (U.S. customers); fax at (703)605-6900; and email at orders@ntis.fedworld.gov.

Jennings, Gregory D., William A. Harman, Daniel E. Line, and Carolyn B. Mojonier. North Carolina State Univ, Raleigh, NC, USA. 1997. Agricultural and Urban Stream Restoration in the Long Creek Watershed. *Proceedings of the 1997 ASAE Annual*

International Meeting. Part 2 (of 3). 1997/08/10-1997/08/14. Minneapolis, MN. v. 2. St. Joseph, MI: American Society of Agricultural Engineers. ISBN: 0145-0166.

Kasischke, E. S., J. M. Melack, and M. C. Dobson. 1997. The use of imaging radars for ecological applications - a review. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 59, 2: 141-56. ISSN: 0034-4257.

Kentula, M. E. Us Environmental Protection Agency, National Health Environmental Effects Lab.-Western Ecol. Div., 200 S.w. 35th St., Corvallis, Or 97333. 1997. A regional approach to planning and evaluating wetland restoration. *163rd National Meeting Of The American Association For The Advancement Of Science: Engaging Science, Sustaining Society. AAAS Annual Meeting And Science Innovation Exposition*, February 13-18, 1997. Seattle, Washington. v. 163. p. A39.

Lahlou, M., D. Kumar, M.-S. Cheng, and M. Symborski. 1996. A Decision Support System For Stream Classification And Restoration Advanced Flow Measurement Techniques In Sensitive Watershed Areas. *Watershed Management. WATERSHED PROCEEDINGS. National Conference*. June 1996. Baltimore, MD. v. 2. 1159. Tetra Tech, Inc.

Miracle, David L., Catherine G. Varnes, and Michael G. Cullum. St. Johns River Water Management District, Jacksonville, FL, USA. 1998. Assessment Of Wetland Creation Areas In Northeast Florida. *Engineering Approaches to Ecosystem Restoration: Proceedings of the 1998 ASCE Wetlands Engineering River Restoration Conference*. Mar. 22-27, 1998. Denver, CO. Fairfield, NJ: American Society of Civil Engineers. ISBN: 05225646.

Order from ASCE at 1-800-548-2723. CD-ROM. Cost is \$125.

Mitsch, W. J., Wu Xin Yuan, R. W. Nairn, P. E. Weihe, Wang Nai Ming, R. Deal, and C. E. Boucher. Natural Resources, The Ohio State University, Columbus. 1998. Creating And Restoring Wetlands: A Whole-Ecosystem Experiment Inself-Design. *BioScience* 48, 12: 1019-30. ISSN: 0006-3568.

Olson, C., and R. Harris. Dec 1997. Applying A Two-Stage System To Prioritize Riparian Restoration At The San Luis Rey River, San Diego County, California. *Restoration Ecology* 5, 4: Supp. p. 43-55. ISSN: 1061-2971. Special issue: Riparian Restoration.

O'Neill, M. P., J. C. Schmidt, J. P. Dobrowolski, C. P. Hawkins, and C. M. U. Neale. 1997. Identifying Sites For Riparian Wetland Restoration: Application Of A Model To The Upper Arkansas River Basin. *Restoration Ecology* 5, Supplement 4: 85-102. ISSN: 1061-2971.

Panko, A. W., P. E. Chapman, C. M. Toth, R. W. Shannon, A. Jagi, and K. Eller. Conor Pacific Environmental Technologies Inc., 7900 Canadian Drive, Niagara Falls, ON L2E 6S5, Canada. 1997. An Ecosystem Approach To Comprehensively Evaluating An

Environmentally Impacted Water Course And Wetland, In Order To Design And Implement An Ecosystem Management Plan. *40th Conference Of The International Association For Great Lakes Research*. June 1-5, 1997. Buffalo, NY. 112-13. Buffalo, NY: University of Buffalo.

Phinn, S. R. Department of Geographical Sciences and Planning, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland 4072, Australia. 1998. A Framework For Selecting Appropriate Remotely Sensed Data Dimensions for Environmental Monitoring And Management. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 19, 17: 3457-63. ISSN: 0143-1161.

Russell, G. D., C. P. Hawkins, and M. P. O'Neill. 1997. The Role Of GIS In Selecting Sites For Riparian Restoration Based On Hydrology And Land Use. *Restoration Ecology* 5, Supplement 4: 56-68. ISSN: 1061-2971.

Shields, F. D., S. S. Knight, and C. M. Cooper. 1996. A Tale Of Two Streams: Restoration Strategies Compared. *Proceedings of the 6th Federal Interagency Sedimentation Conference*. March 1996. Las Vegas, NV. II-24-I-31. Theme title: "Sedimentation technologies for management of natural resources in the 21st century".

Thom, R. M. Battelle Marine Sci., Lab., 1529 W. Sequim Bay Rd., Sequim, Wa 98382, USA. 1997. An integrated adaptive approach for assessing wetland restoration. *163rd National Meeting Of The American Association For The Advancement Of Science: Engaging Science, Sustaining Society. AAAS Annual Meeting And Science Innovation Exposition*, February 13-18, 1997. Seattle, Washington. v. 163. pp. A40.

Whitaker, G. 1996. Why do landowners restore wetlands? *National Wetlands Newsletter* 18, 5 (September-October): p.5.